



محكمة قطر الدولية
ومركز تسوية المنازعات

QATAR INTERNATIONAL COURT
AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION CENTRE

In the name of His Highness Sheikh Tamim bin Hamad Al Thani,
Emir of the State of Qatar

Neutral Citation: [2026] OIC (F) 60

IN THE QATAR FINANCIAL CENTRE
CIVIL AND COMMERCIAL COURT
FIRST INSTANCE CIRCUIT

Date: 23 September 2026

CASE NO: CTFIC0054/2026

ANDREEA SILVANA NSIRI

Claimant

V

TAMANNA BNPL LLC

Defendant

JUDGMENT

Before:

Justice Fritz Brand



Order

1. The Defendant is directed to pay the Claimant the sum of QAR 8,000 forthwith, together with interest on this amount calculated at the rate of 5% per annum from 14 July 2026 to the date of actual payment.
2. The Defendant is directed to pay the reasonable costs incurred by the Claimant in pursuing this claim, the quantum of such costs to be determined by the Registrar, if not agreed.

Judgment

1. The Claimant is a citizen of Romania who resides in the State of Qatar. The Defendant is a corporate entity registered in the Qatar Financial Centre.
2. Following the termination of the employment relationship between the parties on 11 February 2026, and after a complaint submitted by the Claimant to the QFC Employment Standards Office (the 'ESO'), the parties entered into a Settlement Agreement on 13 May 2026 facilitated through mediation by the ESO.
3. In terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Defendant undertook to pay the Claimant (i) an amount of QAR 17,367 within 10 days; and (ii) an amount of QAR 8,000 within 60 days from the date of conclusion of that agreement.
4. The Defendant paid the amount of QAR 17,367, but despite the expiry of 60 days on 14 July 2026, and the extension of a further 16 days granted by the Claimant at the Defendant's request, it has to date failed to pay the amount of QAR 8,000.
5. In consequence, the Claimant launched the present proceedings in this Court. In its Notice of Defence, the Defendant does not deny the facts relied upon by the Claimant, nor that it is liable for payment of the amount claimed. Instead, it contends that, due to circumstances beyond its control, it is financially unable to pay. Hence, it seeks a further extension of 30 days, which is refused by the Claimant in her Reply.



6. Since in law the Defendant is not entitled to the extension sought, this Court has no discretion to accede to the request. In consequence I am bound to grant the relief sought by the Claimant.

By the Court,



[signed]

Justice Fritz Brand

A signed copy of this Judgment has been filed with the Registry.

Representation

The Claimant was self-represented.

The Defendant was self-represented.

